

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/773,581	02/05/2004	Richard S. Ginn	704117.4011	6733	
	7590 01/07/200 RINGTON & SUTCL		EXAMINER		
IP PROSECUTION DEPARTMENT			WOODALL, NICHOLAS W		
4 PARK PLAZA SUITE 1600			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
IRVINE, CA 92614-2558			· 3733		
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
		·	01/07/2008	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

		(/
	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
,	10/773,581	GINN, RICHARD S.	
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Nicholas Woodall	3733	
The MAILING DATE of this communication Period for Reply	appears on the cover sheet wit	th the correspondence address	
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR RE WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFI after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory pe - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by st Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the meaned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	DATE OF THIS COMMUNIC R 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a re riod will apply and will expire SIX (6) MON atute, cause the application to become AB.	CATION. Peply be timely filed THS from the mailing date of this communication. ANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
Status			
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 2	4 October 2007.		
2a) ☐ This action is FINAL . 2b) ☑ 1	This action is non-final.		
 Since this application is in condition for alloclosed in accordance with the practice und 	·	•	
Disposition of Claims			
4) Claim(s) <u>1-8,10-13 and 21-27</u> is/are pendir	ng in the application.		,
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are with	drawn from consideration.		
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.			
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-8,10-13 and 21-27</u> is/are rejecte	ed.		
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.			
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction ar	id/or election requirement.		
Application Papers			
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Exan			
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>21 March 2007</u> is/ar			
Applicant may not request that any objection to	- ,,		
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the control of the control			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for fore a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:	eign priority under 35 U.S.C. §	119(a)-(d) or (f).	
 Certified copies of the priority docum 	ents have been received.		
Certified copies of the priority docum			
3. Copies of the certified copies of the	•	received in this National Stage	
application from the International Bu	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •		
* See the attached detailed Office action for a	list of the certified copies not	receivea.	
Attachment(s)			
 Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 		ummary (PTO-413))/Mail Date	
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)	5) 🔲 Notice of In	oformal Patent Application	
Paper No(s)/Mail Date	6) 🔲 Other:	 :	

DETAILED ACTION

1. This action is in response to applicant's amendment received on 10/24/2007.

Allowable Subject Matter

2. The indicated allowability of claims 14 and 15 is withdrawn in view of the newly discovered reference(s) to Mikhail (U.S. Patent 5,308,349). Rejections based on the newly cited reference(s) follow.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 4. Claims 1-8 and 10-13 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Kuslich (U.S. Publication 2002/0077701) in view of Wedeen (U.S. Patent 4,606,335).

Regarding claim 1, Kuslich discloses various embodiments of a device, for example Figure 28 showing a cerclage type embodiment, wherein the device comprises a band of biocompatible material having a height of at least that of a spinal disc to be treated and a first end and a length sufficient enough to wrap around the exterior of a spinal disc (see Figure 28). Regarding claim 3, Kuslich discloses a device wherein the first end of the band element includes an opening capable of receiving a hook.

Regarding claims 5 and 6, Kuslich discloses a device is capable of further comprising a heal-promoting material and an extra-cellular matrix material, such as hydroxyapatite,

on at least one side of the band. Regarding claims 7 and 8, Kuslich discloses a device that maybe comprised of a non-porous material or porous material depending on the intended use of the device. Regarding claim 10, Kuslich discloses a device comprising a second end that includes a connector capable of connecting the second end of the device to another portion of the device. Regarding claim 11, Kuslich discloses a device wherein the second end of the device is capable of being tied to another portion of the device and would require at least one thread extending from the second end of the device. Regarding claims 12 and 13, Kuslich discloses a device further capable of conducting electricity and having a power supply attached to the device to stimulate bone growth. Regarding claims 1 and 2, Kuslich fails to disclose further comprising an elongate member comprising a handle on the proximal end and a connector element on the distal end. Wedeen teaches a device comprising an elongated member comprising a handle on the proximal end and a connector element on the distal end, wherein the connector is a hook in order to position a cerclage device around a bone (column 1 lines 5-7). It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to manufacture the device of Kuslich with an elongated member comprising a handle on the proximal end and a connector element on the distal end in view of Wedeen in order to position a cerclage device around a bone.

5. Claims 21 and 22 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Kuslich (U.S. Publication 2002/0077701) in view of Wedeen (U.S. Patent 4,606,335) further in view of Mikhail (U.S. Patent 5,308,349).

Application/Control Number:

10/773,581 Art Unit: 3733

Regarding claim 21, the combination of Kuslich and Wedeen disclose the invention as claimed except for the device further comprising a fork member. Mikhail teaches a device comprising a fork member comprising a proximal end and a distal end defining an axis therebetween (see Figures 8 and 9), wherein the distal end comprises a pair of tines comprising a transverse portion extending generally parallel to one another transversely with respect to the axis in order to distract adjacent bones of a joint. It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to manufacture the combination of Kuslich and Wedeen further comprising a forked member in view of Mikhail in order to distract adjacent bones of a joint.

Regarding claim 22, the combination of Kuslich, Wedeen, and Mikhail disclose a device wherein the transverse portions of each tine comprises a tip and a heel disposed proximal to the tip, a length between the tip and the heel wherein the tip is capable of engaging a first vertebra and pivotally engaging a second vertebra with the heel to adjust a distance between the vertebrae. Mikhail teaches a device for distracting joints as discussed above comprising the structure required by the claims that is fully capable of being used on a joint between two vertebrae of the spine.

6. Claims 1-8, 10-13, and 23-27 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Kuslich (U.S. Publication 2002/0077701) in view of Kaladelfos (U.S. Patent 6,494,887).

Regarding claims 1, 23, and 27, Kuslich discloses various embodiments of a device, for example Figure 28 showing a cerclage type embodiment, wherein the device

comprises a band of biocompatible material having a height of at least that of a spinal disc to be treated and a first end and a length sufficient enough to wrap around the exterior of a spinal disc (see Figure 28). Regarding claim 3, Kuslich discloses a device wherein the first end of the band element includes an opening capable of receiving a hook. Regarding claims 5 and 6, Kuslich discloses a device is capable of further comprising a heal-promoting material and an extra-cellular matrix material, such as hydroxyapatite, on at least one side of the band. Regarding claims 7 and 8, Kuslich discloses a device that maybe comprised of a non-porous material or porous material depending on the intended use of the device. Regarding claim 10, Kuslich discloses a device comprising a second end that includes a connector capable of connecting the second end of the device to another portion of the device. Regarding claim 11, Kuslich discloses a device wherein the second end of the device is capable of being tied to another portion of the device and would require at least one thread extending from the second end of the device. Regarding claims 12 and 13, Kuslich discloses a device further capable of conducting electricity and having a power supply attached to the device to stimulate bone growth. Regarding claims 1, 2, 23, and 27, Kuslich fails to disclose the device further comprising an elongate member, wherein the elongate member includes a proximal end including a handle and a curved distal end including a connector, such as a hook, and a guide member, wherein the guide member includes a proximal end and a curved distal end having a radius of curvature substantially similar to an exterior perimeter of a spinal disc and a lumen extending between the proximal and distal ends capable of allowing at least a portion of an elongate element to pass.

Kaladelfos teaches a device comprising an elongate member (50), wherein the elongate member includes a proximal end including a handle and a curved distal end including a connector, such as a hook (54), and a guide member (12), wherein the guide member includes a proximal end including a handle and a curved distal end having a radius of curvature substantially similar to an exterior perimeter of a spinal disc and a lumen extending between the proximal and distal ends capable of allowing at least a portion of the elongate element to pass in order to insert an elongated element, such as a cerclage device, into a patient (column 1 lines 63-65). It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to manufacture the device of Kuslich further comprising an elongate member and a guide member in view of Kaladelfos in order to insert an elongate element, such as a cerclage device, into a patient.

Regarding claim 24, the combination of Kuslich and Kaladelfos disclose a device wherein the lumen comprises a slot having a height greater than a width of the elongate element. Regarding claim 25, the combination of Kuslich and Kaladelfos disclose a device wherein the proximal end of the guide member defines an axis, wherein the distal end of the guide member terminates in a distal tip extending transversely with respect to the axis. Regarding claim 26, the combination of Kuslich and Kaladelfos disclose a device wherein the height of the lumen extends substantially perpendicular to the radius of curvature of the distal end.

Regarding claim 27, the combination of Kuslich and Kaladelfos disclose the invention as claimed except for the device further comprising a pair of guide members.

Application/Control Number:

10/773,581 Art Unit: 3733

It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to manufacture the combination of Kuslich and Kaladelfos including a pair of guide members, since it has been held that a mere duplication of the essential working parts of a device involves only routine skill in the art. *St. Regis Paper Co. v. Bemis Co.*, 193 USPQ 8.

Response to Arguments

7. Applicant's arguments filed 10/24/2007 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive. The applicant's argument regarding claim 1 being a Jepson style claim making the preamble a structural limitation is not persuasive. A Jepson style claim is used to admit that the structure of the preamble of a claim is known prior art and that the novelty of the invention is an improvement described in the body of the claim. To reject a Jepson claim the examiner is then required to find the structure of the preamble as well as the improvement in use with the admitted prior art. The examiner would like to note that claim 1 does not have any structural elements in the preamble of the claim, therefore the examiner only needs to find the structural limitations in the body of the claim. Regarding the applicant's argument that Kuslich does not disclose a cerclage device capable of being wrapped around the outer diameter of an intervertebral disc space is not persuasive. The examiner would like to point the applicant to Figure 28 of the reference as discussed above, wherein Kuslich discloses an embodiment that is a cerclage device wrapped around the outer diameter of an intervertebral disc space. Regarding the applicant's argument that the Kuslich and Wedeen reference are not capable of being combined is not persuasive. The applicant agrees that Wedeen

teaches using an insertion device for deploying a wire, i.e. cerclage device, around the outside of a bone (page 7 lines 19-20). Kuslich discloses an embodiment comprising a cerclage device as discussed above. Therefore, the examiner believes the references are capable of being combined as discussed in the body of the office action. The applicant's argument that the Kuslich and Kaladelfos references can not be combined is not persuasive. Kaladelfos is being used by the examiner to teach a system for inserting a cerclage device, i.e. a wire/suture, comprising an elongate member and a guide member as discussed above in the body of the office action. Kuslich discloses an embodiment comprising a cerclage device as discussed above. Therefore, the examiner believes the references are capable of being combined as discussed in the body of the office action. The examiner has withdrawn previously indicated allowability of claims 14 and 15 making this office action non-final.

Page 8

Conclusion

8. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. See PTO-892 for cited references the examiner felt were relevant to the application.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Nicholas Woodall whose telephone number is 571-272-5204. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday to Friday 8:00 to 5:30 EST...

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Eduardo Robert can be reached on 571-272-4719. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

NWW

EDULAÇO (CACERT